



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/783,616	02/20/2004	Mark Sylvester	M004 100110	7221
32662 7590 02/27/2009 FELIX L. FISCHER, ATTORNEY AT LAW 1607 MISSION DRIVE SUITE 204 SOLVANG, CA 93463				
EXAMINER				
ORTIZ, BELIX M				
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
2164				
NOTIFICATION DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
02/27/2009		ELECTRONIC		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

felixfischer@fischeriplaw.com

<i>Interview Summary</i>	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/783,616	SYLVESTER ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	BELIX M. ORTIZ	2164	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) BELIX M. ORTIZ. (3) Felix L Fischer.
 (2) Charles Ronos. (4) Mark Sylvester.

Date of Interview: 18 February 2009.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
 c) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☒ Yes e) ☐ No.

If Yes, brief description: The inventor brought his computer and show us a presentation of how the invention works.

Claim(s) discussed: 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: Speicher.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The attorney and the inventor showed the examiner how the invention works on a presentation. The inventory and attorney showed how the invention claims input formats and output formats that shows proportional significance to selected attributes. Examiner agrees to do a further search and to use different prior art on the next office action..

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Belix M. Ortiz/
 Acting Examiner of Art Unit 2164